

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/684,668	10/14/2003	Steve Mitchell	KLYCD-05008US1	3396
7590 08/18/2004			EXAMINER	
Sheldon R. M		SNOW, BRUCE EDWARD		
FLIESLER DO	JBB MEYER & LOVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Four Embarcac	lero Center	3738		
San Francisco, CA 94111-4156			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	TA					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/684,668	MITCHELL, STEVE				
Onice Action Gunmary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bruce E Snow	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 A</u>	uaust 2004.					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	· <u> </u>					
Disposition of Claims	• •					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>24 and 25</u> is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-23 and 26-36</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	ndrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF FORM PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Motice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) L Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) ∑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/19/04</u> .		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 8/02/04 is acknowledged. Claims 24-25 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention.

It is noted that applicant failed to respond to the election of species requirement; this requirement has been withdrawn to order to expedite prosecution.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 16-20 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 16-20, the configurations described appear to conflict each other. Please direct to the specification and drawings for support. Diagrams would be helpful.

Regarding claims 22-23 directly conflict each other. Please direct to the specification and drawings for support. Diagrams would be helpful.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/684,668 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Discussion: the definition of the claim terminology "crossbar" is defined as:

cross·bar (krôs¹bär´, kròs¹-) noun A horizontal bar, line, or stripe. 1

Claims 1, 4-12, 14, 21-23, 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ferree (2004/0106998).

Note that the provisional application No. 60/416,181 was filed on October 4, 2002 and supports figures 1-6 only. Ferree teaches an implant comprising a first

¹ The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language, Third Edition copyright © 1992 by Houghton Mifflin Company. Electronic version licensed from INSO Corporation; further reproduction

Art Unit: 3738

piece 104 having a first socket a second piece 104' having a second socket and a crossbar member 102 that is at least partially received in the first socket and the second socket.

The crossbar member includes first and second bars configured perpendicular forming a "T" or '+" shape.

Regarding claim 5, the socket or interior surfaces comprise many different slopes.

Claims 1-23 and 26-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wagner et al (6,706,070).

Applicant must consider all configurations taught by Wager, a couple configurations are specifically discussed. Referring to figures 8-9, Wagner et al teaches an implant comprising a first piece 12 having a first socket 60, a second piece 14 having a second socket 60 and a crossbar member 30 that is at least partially received in the first socket and the second socket.

Regarding claim 2 having first and second bars that are perpendicular, see figure 6B showing element 30 having generally "I" shape having upper and lower bars separated by a middle bar. Also see figures 42-49 which comprise elements which are self-evident. See the embodiments shown in figure 38 wherein the bars abut.

Application/Control Number: 10/684,668

Art Unit: 3738

Claims 3 and 26, inherently the "I" shape has a bar above the middle bar. Also, referring to figure 9, another interpretation the first bar 30 is mounted higher or above second bar 32.

Regarding claim 12, see at least figure 42.

Regarding at least claims 15-20 claiming a keel, see at least elements 516, 616, 716, 816, 916, 1016.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ferree (2004/0106998) in view of Marnay (WO 01/01893).

Ferree teaches the implant as described above, however, fails to teach a keel.

Marnay teaches the keel configuration as claimed. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the to have used the keel/s of Marnay on the implant of Ferree to better anchor the it to the vertebrae.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce E Snow whose telephone number is (703) 308-3255. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (703)308-2111. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bes

BRUCE SNOW PRIMARY EXAMINER